

Appl. No. 10/709,235
Amendment dated October 24, 2005
Reply to Office Action of Sept. 23, 2005

Remarks/Arguments:

Claims 1-29 are presented for examination. Claims 15-17 and 23-29 are provisionally elected for examination, with traverse.

The Office Action of 09/23/2005 presented an election/restriction requirement between a combination and subcombination. Invention I is the subcombination and relates to a clip. Claims 1-14 and 18-22 read on the clip. Invention II is the combination of a conveyor and clip. Claims 15-17 and 23-29 read on the conveyor and clip. Applicant provisionally elects invention II and claims 15-17 and 23-29, with traverse.

Traverse is premised upon the lack of significant burden between examining the combination and subcombination. On this basis, applicant requests reconsideration of the election/restriction requirement.

Respectfully submitted,



Kyle W. Rost, Reg. No. 27943
Attorney for Applicant

5490 S. Autumn Court
Greenwood Village, CO 80111
Phone 720-528-8863
Fax 720-528-8864